UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,365	06/23/2006	Bengt Bjellqvist	PU03103	8056	
	7590 09/16/201 ARE BIO-SCIENCES	EXAMINER			
PATENT DEPA		BALL, JOHN C			
PRINCETON, I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1795			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LSUSPatents@ge.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/584,365	BJELLQVIST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		O: OTHER OTTER BALL	17.55	
The MAILING DATE of this commu	ınication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2010 FAILS 1	TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but application, applicant must timely file one of application in condition for allowance; (2) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete periods: 	of the following in Notice of Appe Ilance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, afficeal (with appeal fee) in compliar FR 1.114. The reply must be fi	lavit, or other evidence, nce with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mail no event, however, will the statutory period Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, checked	for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se			IIIL I IKOT KEPET WAST	ILLD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expira set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received b may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3 NOTICE OF APPEAL	g the period of extention date of the s by the Office later	ension and the corresponding amo hortened statutory period for reply	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	, to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a	final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a bi	ief, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would red				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (s		•		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the ap appeal; and/or				the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without	_		rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (S				(7701 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance w			-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following				, P (I
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).			·	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed ame how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	rejected is prov		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u></u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a because applicant failed to provide a show was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1. 	ing of good and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why 	ence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered.				•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been	considered but	does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure</i>13. ☐ Other:	Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
/Nam Y Nguyan/				
/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 175	3			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claim 1 has been amended to recite "[the gel] is in contact with the cathode at one end". This claim limitation has not been previously presented and would require further consideration and/or search to determine patentability..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument presented by the Applicant for patentability is based on the allegation that the prior art of record does not teach a limitation added presently by amendment. However, further consideration and/or search would be required to determine the patentability were the amendment allowed to be entered after the closure of prosecution.